



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_53203
Title of the Manuscript:	Nephrotoxicological effect of Water Soluble Fraction of Bonny Light crude oil in Wistar albino rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**l a c k o f N o v e l t y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I find study more enlightening; there's this popular viewpoint that clearing surface oil spills eliminates any possible issue of contamination whereas there are soluble, dangerous substances. This calls for stricter measures by governing bodies as per the management of petroleum products by companies. However the following issues need to be fixed to improve the quality of paper:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To include keywords at the base of abstract; <input type="checkbox"/> Text referencing: I'll advise authors to consult the Vancouver referencing guide for this purpose; the text is not appropriately referenced. For example, (Anderson, Neff, Col, Tatem and Hugh, 1974) should rather be written as (Anderson et al., 1974). I suggest authors adopt this style throughout the manuscript. <input type="checkbox"/> Results: in the methods section, authors made mention of determination of lethal dose (LD50), but there's no mention of what they found which poses the problem of ethics; key study findings should be made available. <input type="checkbox"/> On another note chemical analysis of WSF of the oil spill is important in identifying possible culprit substances responsible for kidney dysfunction. including this aspect in the study would lead to a more-powerful conclusion. 	
Minor REVISION comments	There are issues of occasional grammatical errors especially in the discussion section. Focus should be on the structure of sentences and the right use of punctuations. Authors should know where to put a "comma" or a "full stop"	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>Yes, as stated above</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tabé Franklin Nyenty
Department, University & Country	Yaoundé I University, Cameroon