

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_75236
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of FMS-Like Tyrosine Kinase 3 Mutation Frequency in Myelodysplastic Syndrome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The authors have studied 94 high risk MDS patients for FLT3 mutation frequency and Internal Tandem duplication. They have the follow up of 5years.</p> <p>Population. One patient was FLT3-ITD positive out of 94.(1.1%).This case transformed to AML within 9 months and subsequently died within one month.</p> <p>The methodology is appropriate and analysis is adequate. They have also performed</p> <p>Karyotype analysis in these patients to have scoring system for MDS.It is a well written manuscript. The tables are appropriate. Figures are also of good quality.</p> <p>The only thing which is missing is the treatment details and quality of life of these patients which may be added as a table. This is important . The second point is that it is not clear how often they have done the mutation study. This needs clarification.</p> <p>Therefore the paper may be revised and resubmitted.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohanty D
Department, University & Country	Apollo Hospitals, Bhubaneswar, India