



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_67572
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance Evaluation: Four Chemiluminescent SARS-Cov-2 Immunoassays & Rapid-Card Test in Mild Disease and Seroprevalence of SARS CoV-2 in Frontline Healthcare Workers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ There is a serious problem in the discussion part. The author does not discuss his findings by comparing with the work of other scholars. ➤ The study design and the sampling technique are not described very well. Therefore, it is mandatory to see these parts seriously. ➤ To make more acceptable, it is better to use end notes or other methods to site the reference. ➤ There is a problem of writing of Figures and Tables by using Arabic number with its descriptions. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ There is a spacing problem throughout the manuscript. Thus, it is important to edit seriously. ➤ You should attach the written consent and the adapted or adopted questionnaire. ➤ Don't use italic form of writing except scientific name. ➤ Use 1.5 line spacing and Times New Romans throughout your manuscript. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Since I have no plagiarism checker, I don't check plagiarism. Thus, please use plagiarism checker to minimize to less than 10%. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Kindu Alem</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Woldia University, Ethiopia</i>