



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_61569
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional profile of Pleurotus geesteranus from different harvests
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript titled "Nutritional profile of Pleurotus geesteranus from different harvests" is complete in itself and is also achieving its objectives. No factual revision is recommended from my side.	
Minor REVISION comments	As mentioned above the manuscript doesn't need any minor correction related to grammar or spelling.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is meticulously drafted and seems perfectly adequate from my side to be considered for publication as it is.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gargee Yadav
Department, University & Country	C.S.J.M. University, India