



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_59068
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate Composition, Sensory Properties and Microbial Quality of Chin-Chin Developed From Wheat and African Walnut Flour Blends for Household Food Security
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The text, in general, is poor in vocabulary, with numerous repetitions. The tables are positioned incorrectly. There is a lack of standardization in relation to formulations. The number of testers used for sensory analysis is inefficient.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. A human study was carried out, but no documentation was submitted regarding the ethics committee.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabrielli Nunes Clímaco
Department, University & Country	State University of Campinas, Brazil