



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_58641
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant, Mineral and Microbiological Properties of Wine from Blends of Roselle Calyces Extract and Pineapple Juice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the introduction part following information could be included</p> <p>Since pineapple is known to contain good quantity of bromelin (mixture of enzyme), it would be pertinent at this juncture add a note on it here in this paragraph. Beneficial effects of bromelin could be also mentioned because the final product is derived from the mixture of pineapple juice and Roselle calyces has positive impact on health effects. The quantity of bromelin in the final product may also add to beneficial effects of wine.</p> <p>In the materials and methods, probably determination of bromelin in the must and wine would be worthwhile because it has medicinal value</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Few spelling errors and grammatical errors (red font) are corrected in the manuscript and shown in blue font in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Good research work, by adding information on bromelin-a medicinally important substance in pineapple, would give more impetus to the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. Vaman Rao
Department, University & Country	NMAM Institute of Technology, India