



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_54318
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethnobotanical surveys, antibacterial activity and phytochemical screening of extracts of <i>Prosopis africana</i> (Guill. & Perr.) Taub
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	N/A	
Minor REVISION comments	Spelling errors: Eg: <i>Prosopis africana</i> instead of <i>Prosopis Africana</i>	
Optional/General comments	Good information from the Province mentioned. Although, report on antimicrobial and phytochemical constituents of the plant is reported, the study provided Added source of information towards the plant <i>Prosopis Africana</i> from the specified geographical zone.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N.K. Udaya Prakash
Department, University & Country	Higher educational institution in Chennai, India