



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_52495
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-oxidant and Anti-inflammatory activity of Moringa oleifera (Flowers)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>TITLE</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed title change: Anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory activity of <i>Moringa oleifera</i> flowers</li> </ol> <p><b>ABSTRACT</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add sections on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Study design:</li> <li>Place and Duration of Study:</li> <li>Conclusion:</li> </ul> </li> <li>For additional editorial issues refer to the accompanied annotated manuscript.</li> <li>Arrange keywords alphabetically</li> </ol> <p><b>INTRODUCTION</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Shape introduction according to the following format <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Paragraph 1: Oxidative stress</li> <li>Paragraph 2: Inflammation and associated pain</li> <li>Paragraph 3: <i>Moringa oleifera</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>For additional editorial issues refer to the accompanied annotated manuscript.</li> </ol> <p><b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For editorial issues refer to the accompanied annotated manuscript.</li> <li>Some methodology found in the results/Discussion section – need to be relocated.</li> </ol> <p><b>RESULTS &amp; DISCUSSION</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Figures presented <b>not discussed</b></li> <li>Tables 1-4 <b>not discussed</b></li> <li>Tables not all reported on</li> <li>Exact duplication between information presented in text and table. Need to be removed.</li> <li>For additional editorial issues refer to the accompanied annotated manuscript.</li> <li>Journal guidelines: Tables should be explanatory enough to be understandable without any text reference. Double spacing should be maintained throughout the table, including table headings and footnotes. Table headings should be placed above the table.</li> <li>According to journal guidelines: Each figure should have a caption. The caption should be concise and typed separately, not on the figure area. Figures should be self-explanatory. Information presented in the figure should not be repeated in the table. All symbols and abbreviations used in the illustrations should be defined clearly. Figure legends should be given below the figures.</li> </ol> <p><b>AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS</b></p> <p>Authors may use the following wording for this section: “ ‘Author A’ designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. ‘Author B’ and ‘Author C’ managed the analyses of the study. ‘Author C’ managed the literature searches..... All authors read and approved the final manuscript.”</p> <p><b>CONCLUSIONS</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Short Communication papers do not have conclusion section as a rule - REMOVE</li> </ol> <p><b>REFERENCES</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Many inconsistencies in format</li> </ol>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	18. Not according to journal guidelines	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Martin Potgieter</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Limpopo, South Africa</b>