



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_52000
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemistry of California Lycium cooperi and Lycium andersonii
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT: Okay but too short. You may write more/ describe.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION: Ok</p> <p>METHODOLOGY: Too much short. Must to mention the solvent system in the HPLC/MS. The ratio of the solvent(s) is/are not transparent. Author(s) are humbly request to write more about the methodology.</p> <p>RESULTS and DISCUSSION: Please Check the results more carefully. Requested to write more regarding the confirmation of the compounds.</p> <p>Conclusion : Is it mandatory to point out the references in conclusion?</p> <p>Ref: Ok</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Md Ranzu Ahmed</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Health Sciences (BUHS), Bangladesh</i>