



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_52000
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemistry of California Lycium cooperi and Lycium andersonii
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript needs some improvement before publish	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In abstract Study design: Exploratory analytical design In the abstract there is no describe about age related macular degeneration, may be better change to chemical characteristics. Keywords are usually arranged in alphabetical</p> <p>In row 32 from China not is China In row 47 you have to write how many grams in nominal, not a few grams In row 51 is it the fresh leaf or powder of leaf Tabel 1 and Table 3 should be in one page, don't be separate In row 84 and 91-92 to be clear, this writing needs to be compiled again</p> <p>Conclusion in row 123124 This study is only about the chemical characteristics of Lysium, not related to the prevention and treatment of macular degeneration</p>	
Optional/General comments	Good, only 4 from 23 the references not up to date (more than 10 years)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Maria Bintang</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>IPB University, Indonesia</i>