



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_68309
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Moving Chord Inclination Angleswhen Determining Curvature of Track Axis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None, I hope this paper be useful for the reader. The authors claim the novelty of the paper is "This study considers the problem of determining the curvature both in the horizontal plane and in the vertical plane."	
Minor REVISION comments	1.- The notation $\Theta_{i+(i+1)}$ is not defined, what is its meaning? 2.- In the paper the reasons to study the four cases is not indicated, and the differences among each case is not highlighted either. 3.- Legends of figure 7 and 8 are the same. 4.- In figure 12 and others please explain why it is possible to plot in negative length.	
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benito Serrano Rosales
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of Zacatecas, Mexico