



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_66633
Title of the Manuscript:	PARTICIPATION OF FARM WOMEN IN AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES IN TIRUVALLUR DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is not well written. It needs major revision	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The abstract and other contents need re-writing. At this level it seems impossible to be published. The paper is very shallow and except a tables and figure major analysis is not as such attractive. The way tables also organized in some case is not clear	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Also it works on human there is no as such ethical issues but any any case there is a need of consent participant	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Kasso
Department, University & Country	Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia