



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_66038
Title of the Manuscript:	WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR COMMUNITY HEALTH FINANCING: AN APPROACH TO FINANCING AND SUSTAINABILITY OF INTEGRATED COMMUNITY CASE MANAGEMENT OF CHILDHOOD ILLNESS IN RURAL COMMUNITIES IN NIGER STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>BACKGROUND INFORMATION:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> In page 5 the word embraced to be renamed as adopt In page 5 numbers within brackets to be avoided and added as bullets or (a). Semicolon also to be avoided. Page 6 – Abuja declaration year to be mentioned (2011). Also try to find out any statistics if available in terms of Naira required for improving the health conditions and out of 15% sanctioned by Abuja how much is actually received. <p>MATERIALS & METHOD:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Rephrasing of third sentence is required, recent statistics about population to be mentioned. 6th line to be rephrased, the no. of settlements to be mentioned correctly. 7th line mentioning corps to be elaborated. 9th line to be indicated using bullets or numbered in alphabetical order like a,b,c. A small write up about polychotonous choice elicitation modelling and SPSS is a must. <p>RESULTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Fig.1 – pie chart not to be broken % shown in pie chart should sum upto 100 % and not greater than that. Some screen shots of the SPSS data sheet would be an added advantage. In table 2. The sum of each variable should be equal to 100. <p>CONCLUSIONS:</p> <p>A small comparison about how much the people are willing to pay and how many have actually paid shall be mentioned to add weightage to the research.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The author has done proper justice to the research by carrying out survey with 400 respondents. The manuscript shall follow uniform format of lettering.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Swetha Madhusudanan
Department, University & Country	Dr. M.G.R. Educational & Research Institute, India