



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_58580
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF MUSCULOSKELETAL PROBLEMS AMONG COBBLERS IN FOOTWEAR REPAIRING WORK
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Abstract section: There is no recommendation remarks presented.</p> <p>Materials & methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why female were not included in this study? Do you have any special reasons? • I didn't see "Age category" which is the main factors related with MSD • I would love if you have data that compared between informal vs formal sectors of doing shoemaking. • I didn't see any consent form was taken written. Do you research ethically approved? • The data collection method was not explicitly explained, whether the it was face-to-face interview or self-reported, did know. <p>Results & discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • You should have information related with socio-demographic variables like age, year of experience, marital status, educational level • On table 1: question # 1 & 2, almost similar, you can merge together and qualify the value, otherwise please provide any clarification • When you present your findings, please use both number and percentage like 18% (18/100). • Did you ask the respondents any pain/discomforts within 24 hours? If that so, where is the results? • You stated that the occurrence of MSD was statically significant, where is the results? Do you have dependent and independent variables? • You have depicted and described every item from the tables, can only focus on the main results. • On table 2 (section 3), I'm not sure that the result is presented well since during 12 months a cobbling could take treatment from multiple health facilities. How do you address such kind of data quality? I think you can add "pharmacy store" as a choice because usually lower class of society buy drugs over the counter without visiting health centres. How do you address these? • On table 2 (section 4): only 10 cobblers were taken combined treatment at least one out of 360 treatment options. Can you please have look again and provide more data/information? • When you discuss, please try to find similar previous study and explain why the difference was happening between your study and others. <p>Recommendation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I think you missed this part. If you have recommendation section will be the most important part of this study. Please add what have to do to correct the current situation? Example proposing new ergonomically design equipment with low cost... 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>There is grammatical problem and please check again.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
---------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eshetu Lemma Haile
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Public Health Institute, Ethiopia