



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_56896
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS OF YIELD RELATED TRAITS IN F3 POPULATIONS OF BRINJAL FROM INTRA-SPECIFIC HYBRIDIZATION
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The introduction is too long and focusing on the Brinjial importance and nutrition value. Their no something about selection in segregating generation and genetic advance and how the breeder could use it to release new varieties. • These lines still in F3 generation and should have some variability. Why their no differences between them? • On which bases you select these lines? • The author should write more details about how he/she planted the experiment (the distance between rows, between plants and within rows) . • You must write more details about the statistical analysis in the part of materials and methods? • Mention to the classification of heritability and genetic advance as percent of mean. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comment		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Mohamed Abdelmoghny
Department, University & Country	Egypt