



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_56764
Title of the Manuscript:	Causes of farmer suicides in Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract must be arranged following the format: aim-methodology-findings-conclusion. 2. Introduction- provide literature about Surajpur district- e.g. geography, economy, agriculture; and suicide (cause and effect) 3. First section of the questionnaire under Materials and method not discussed in the Results. 4. Results on symptoms- not included in the questionnaire (materials & method) 5. Results on social causes, farm-related causes - discuss only the top 3 results 6. Table 3 – why the total is not 100%? You have presented more than 100%. 7. Table 3 (neighbours/friends/relatives- what is the significance of this in the study? 8. Table 6- how many respondents do you have? Is it the same number with previous tables? 9. Spell out CG, ADRT, APL, BPL, AYY the first use. 10. Please check and revise sentences for grammatical errors. (see manuscript) 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I am just asking why this paper is submitted to this Journal? It is not about any current work on science & technology. It should be submitted to journals on agriculture or social studies.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Juvy G. Mojares</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Batangas State University, Philippines</i>