



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_56763
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical analysis of growth and instability of rabi food grain production in coastal districts of Odisha
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Nothing	
Minor REVISION comments	(1) In the 3rd line on page 2, the reviewer supposes that "as compare to the whole state" should be replaced with "as compare to the whole state". (2) In the 5th line on page 2, the reviewer supposes that "as compare to the area" should be replaced with "as compared to the area". (3) In the 5th line on page 3, the reviewer supposes that "Fisher's t-test" should be replaced with "Student's t-test". (4) In the 7th line on page 4, the reviewer supposes that the denominator "n" should be replaced with "(n-1)".	
Optional/General comments	Nothing	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kunio Takezawa
Department, University & Country	Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, Japan