



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_56579
Title of the Manuscript:	Variation among Different growth Stages on Mineral Nutrient Content in Guava Fruits
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Aren't there any newly references?, such as Subbaiah and Asija (1956), Olsen <i>et al.</i> 1954, Dickman and Bray, 1940.</p> <p>2. The author should choose one of the manners to present the data...in Table or in figure form?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Data have to be presented in Table or figure. The same data is unnecessary repeated presentation in Table and Figure.	
Optional/General comments	The research article is considerate to accept for publication after minor revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Isnaeni, M.S., Apt
Department, University & Country	University of Airlangga, Indonesia