



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_53549
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF TRACTOR OPERATED GROUNDNUT THRESHER
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Under the introduction, some impact on ground nut threshing practices followed should be given. Table 3.2 (Specifications of groundnut thresher) is too lengthy. It should be summarized properly. Under the results and discussions, all the threshing parameters for both the varieties should be given in one table and then accordingly statistical analysis should be done. Operating cost calculations should be given in a table form. No need to give detailed calculations in the paper. Economic analysis of the groundnut threshing should be included in the paper. Some good photographs of the working setup should be included in the paper. The conclusion part is not upto the mark. It should be rewritten properly. References are not written in a specified format. Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal for writing the references. Many of the references are too old (more than 20 years). It should be avoided. Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal for writing the paper. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil Kumar
Department, University & Country	Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, India