



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_52805
Title of the Manuscript:	INTEGRATED APPROACH TO RESERVE ESTIMATION AND RESERVOIR SIMULATION OF ENI OFFSHORE FIELD, IN NIGER DELTA NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Too many symbols are used and they should be clearly explained. The explanations should be physically explained with its mathematical expression. Figure should be better shown (there are problems in displaying them). Clearly state if the concept is empirical or physical, and its fundamentals. I did not understand why the mathematical models are placed in a table instead of discussed directly in the text.	
Minor REVISION comments	Check to see if the Conclusions and Goals meet each other.	
Optional/General comments	The grammar should be improved.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Laurenildo W. B. Leite
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Pará, Brazil