



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_67627
Title of the Manuscript:	Biology of Anar butterfly: Deudorix (Virachola) isocrates Fabricus (Lepidoptera: Lycanidae) in mid hill of Himachal Pradesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Author is advised to read Author Guidelines and use SDI-paper template.</p> <p>Abstract should be re-written and should be divided into aim, study design , methodology, results and conclusion according to journal guidelines . The author is advised to write the most important results of this study and his conclusion in should be so clear. Keywords :should be re-write to be helpful for reader.</p> <p>Introduction: should be re-written carefully to appear the importance of current work</p> <p>CONCLUSION: author should write his conclusion in few lines to show how this study can be useful for coming studies</p> <p>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: ?</p> <p>COMPETING INTERESTS : Where this part ?</p> <p>AUTHORS' contributions: should be mentioned</p> <p>References : References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	----	
Optional/General comments	-----	

PART 2:



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Nabil Sabet A. Mustafa</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>National research Centre, Egypt</i>