



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_63288
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF FERMENTATION AND EXTRUSION ON THE PROXIMATE AND ORGANOLEPTIC COMPOSITION OF UNRIPE PLANTAIN AND PIGEON PEA BLENDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I would like to congratulate the authors for their innovative work, however, it remains to be made clear that this is a product to be used in human nutrition. I went to identify the applicability of this at the end of the work, in the conclusions, which made me think that it was a supplement for animal feed.	
Minor REVISION comments	The identification of dosages and material in materials and methods could be done through tables. it is also important to make clear the application of the product in human nutrition.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juliana Pereira Lisboa M. Paiva
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Brazil