



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_59302
Title of the Manuscript:	About the influences of some major biotic drivers on the population dynamics in host-parasite systems: interpretative lessons from an extended Nicholson-Bailey model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I appreciate the attempt to widen the base of Nicholson and bailey Model</p> <p>1.Needs to incorporate the considerations to recognise only those five factors are Major one</p> <p>2. The host capacity to increase under given circumstances also includes the availability of resources</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1.Is it possible to give ecological considerations Problem of meta-population arises in discrete population consequent to discrete distribution of resource</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1.Weather factors plays an important role in deciding the availability of resources to host. Thus Weather factors may be very important</p> <p>2.Basically if we widen the thinking , there is a lot of scope to think about separate Model for parasite and host & Predator and prey ?</p> <p>3. There is even a lot of scope for consideration of type of ecology</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahabaleshwar G. Hegde
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences Dharwad, India