



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_59185
Title of the Manuscript:	Reproductive Biology of two Marine Catfishes, <i>Arius latiscutatus</i> Günther, 1864 and <i>Arius gigas</i> Boulenger, 1911 in Tabounsou and Sangareah Bays, Republic of Guinea
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study seems to be suffered a bit from small sample size. The authors stated that total of 261 specimens of <i>A. gigas</i> were sampled from two bays during one year. This means that only 10 fish were sampled per month per location?	
Minor REVISION comments	I suggest that hepatosomatic index would also be calculated to gain insights into the energy status of fish during reproductive season	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amir Ghazilou
Department, University & Country	Iranian National Institute for Oceanography and Atmospheric Science, Iran