



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_55161
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mushroom Production Benefits, Status, Challenges and opportunities in Bangladesh: A Review</b>
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Abstract- good</b> <b>Introduction- well written</b> <b>Author did not explain the nutritional index of different foods, bar graph also no explanation</b> <b>Mushroom production calendar – how to indicate the colours?</b> <b>Include dominant mushroom species figures</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper is well written, after correcting the small clarification mentioned above then the paper will be accepted for publication.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>K.Immaculate Jeyasanta</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Suganthi Devadason Marine Research Institute, India</b>