



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_55161
Title of the Manuscript:	Mushroom Production Benefits, Status, Challenges and opportunities in Bangladesh: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work constit a novel contribution in the mushroom subject, especially in the area under study (Bangladesh). It address key issues and of growing interest in the subject, as, for example, the role of fungi at the socio-economic level and their potential in human nutrition. In addition I consider that the work is well structured and the ideas are clearly stated. That is why I believe that the work can be published in the journal. Only I propose two minor considerations, set out in the section below.	
Minor REVISION comments	-It seems that the legend of figure 4 is missing -It might be interesting to consult the following article for the introduction section: Martínez-Ibarra, Emilio; Gómez-Martín, María Belén; Armesto-López, Xosé Anton. Climatic and Socioeconomic Aspects of Mushrooms: The Case of Spain. Sustainability 2019, 11(4), 1030; https://doi.org/10.3390/su11041030	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Emilio Martínez Ibarra</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Granada , Spain</i>