



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Annual Research & Review in Biology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARRB_54599 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Isolation and characterization of antibiotic producing Actinomycetes from mud nest of wasps |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>This is a unique and research detailed and organised, however, I have this few comments.</p> <p>1: Kindly update more current references in your work, this will help to bring out the best in this research.</p> <p>2: Kindly include what you used in the collection of samples before transferring into polythene bag</p> <p>3: kindly confirm the coloured part of your main work (methodology) most Actinomycetes are known to grow best after like 5days upward at a temperature of 28°C. You stated otherwise in your work using 3days. Give your reason?</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Faniomi Ayodele Samuel |
| Department, University & Country | Federal University of Technology Akure, Nigeria |