



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_65389
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRUCTIVIST TEACHERS BELIEFS, INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICES AND STUDENTS' MATHEMATICS PERFORMANCE
Type of the Article	research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The paper is very well processed. The theoretical as well as the research database is in order. I believe that the authors have defended their findings by appropriate empirical research. There are also plenty of resources and they are representative. We have only one requirement for the author: to add to the article at least five bibliographic sources that are not older than five years.	
Optional/General comments	After fulfilling my only requirement, I recommend publishing the paper.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marian Ambrozy
Department, University & Country	College of International Business ISM Slovakia in Prešov, Slovakia