

1 |
2 |
3 |
4 | **CONSTRUCTIVIST TEACHERS BELIEFS, INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICES AND**
5 | **STUDENTS' MATHEMATICS PERFORMANCE**
6 |
7 |

8 | **ABSTRACT**

9 | This study determined the role of teachers' constructivist beliefs in the teaching
10 | and learning mathematics and the use of instructional practices in the mathematics
11 | performance of Grade 7 secondary students in the Pacific Towns of Northern Samar for
12 | the school year 2016-2017. This study utilized the descriptive-correlational research
13 | design.

14 | The demographic profile of teachers in mathematics such as , their constructivist
15 | beliefs, about mathematics teaching and learning, mathematics teachers' constructivist
16 | instructional practices and mathematics-performance of students was described as it
17 | exists at the present time. Multiple regression analysis was used to determine the
18 | relationship between the beliefs in mathematics and students' mathematics
19 | performance. Similarly, statistical analysis was used to determine the relationship
20 | between instructional practices and mathematics performance of the students.

21 | The Ffindings showed that more than 50% percent of the mathematics' teachers
22 | are aged less than 30 suggesting that most of the teachers are neophyte in the teaching
23 | careerservice. As to educational attainment, most of the respondents are enrolled in
24 | master's program. Only one-third have already finishedcompleted master's degree. In
25 | terms of relevant trainings, almost a half of the respondents have attended one to two
26 | trainings-attended.

27 | Most of the teachers believe that teaching should involve real world connections.
28 | Teachers believe that they should create real-world environments that employ the
29 | context in which learning is relevant. Beliefs about emphasizing prior knowledge were
30 | also manifested by the teacher-respondents. Highly demonstrated beliefs include
31 | encouraging the use of multiple modes of representation to facilitate easy
32 | understanding and recall and the learner's previous knowledge constructions, beliefs
33 | and attitudes are considered in the knowledge construction process. In terms of social
34 | interaction beliefs, teachers manifested support for collaborative construction of
35 | knowledge through social negotiation.

36 | Result of the test mathematics test conducted in mathematics by the researcher
37 | showed that more than half of the students have-got fair performance. Only one (% or
38 | one out of how many??) performed satisfactorily. -Beliefs about emphasizing prior
39 | knowledge and beliefs in social interaction significantly predicted mathematics
40 | performance of students. Real world connection did not significantly predict
41 | mathematics performance.

Formatted: Numbering: Continuous

Comment [D1]: Use Arial, Bold, 11 font, left aligned, caps as well as for all other subtitles.

Comment [D2]: Sentence too long and lacking the meaning, try to use simple sentences that are easy to understand. Divide the sentence into two.

Comment [D3]: The sentence can be deleted as it is similar to the previous one or combine both and make one including all the ideas.

Comment [D4]: The sentence needs to be rephrased and make a clear and understandable one, avoid long sentences.

Comment [D5]: Check another verb rather than to repeat this many times.

Comment [D6]: Explain what do you want to express by "social negotiation".

42 Respondents' constructivist instructional practices did not offer a significant role in
43 developing the mathematics ability of the students. Teachers did not play an active role
44 in assimilating knowledge into students' existing mental framework and reconstructing
45 new knowledge.

46
47 **Key words:** *Constructivist Teachers' beliefs, Instructional practices, students'*
48 *mathematics performance*

49
50 **Introduction**
51

52 In the Philippines, the goal of achieving mathematical literacy for all citizens has
53 become a national priority. Filipino learners need to have a range of sophisticated
54 mathematical knowledge and skills that extends far beyond basic calculation skills.
55 However, deteriorating performance of students in mathematics what has been noted in
56 the Philippine educational system (Reference??? is the deteriorating performance of
57 students in mathematics). In the local setting, the researcher has observed that many
58 students from elementary school through tertiary level displayhave an attitude of dislike,
59 fear or aversion towards learning mathematics. In conjunction with this negative
60 disposition to learning mathematics, students are more inclined to avoid active
61 engagement in math and often concede to poor academic achievement.

62 Common reasons that students provide to account for their poor performance is
63 that they have never been good at math, or don't see its the use, for it. Along with these
64 perceptions of students in mathematics, several statistical results of examination
65 conducted by different agencies and institutions in the Philippines showed evidences of
66 students' dismal performance in mathematics, locally and even in international setting
67 (reference???). It had been reported that mathematics performance of Filipino students
68 fall behind students from Asian countries (reference??). Assessment in intermediate
69 algebra and science conducted by the International Association for Educational
70 Evaluation showed that Filipino students are lagging behind most of their counterparts.¹
71 Another disappointing result could be found in the National Achievement Test (NAT)
72 result in Mathematics for both elementary and secondary students. In the school year
73 2013-2014 NAT results for secondary students, Mathematics with 46.37 MPS and
74 Science with 42.12 MPS are ranked 4th and 5th among the five subject areas tested.²
75 The Mean Percentage Score (MPS) of these subjects are far from the national target of
76 75 MPS. The MPS in Science and Mathematics in school year 2013-2014 of 46.3 and
77 42.12, respectively, went downdecreased to 43.03 and 40.9 respectively in the school

Comment [D7]: The abstract has to be well summarized and emphasize on the obtained results. This is the picture of the work done. Avoid to copy everything and mention the essential findings.

Comment [D8]: At least four key words are to be given

Comment [D9]: Use the format of the journal, caps

Comment [D10]: First write in full words then in brackets the abbreviation.

78 year 2014-2015. The grade six students in Region VIII were worse off with only 42.03%
79 compared to previous school year's 44.18% MPS. Scores in all subject areas **went**
80 **down** by about one to five percentage showing a declining trend in mathematics solving
81 | problem ability of students in the NAT.³ These figures show**ed** that public schools locally
82 and nationally are struggling to achieve their goal which is enabling academic
83 competence in students. It is therefore important to understand the different factors that
84 | help **to** determine **the** students' mathematics performance. Though student **learning**
85 outcomes have typically been associated with cognitive factors, teacher's beliefs about
86 mathematics and instructional practices play important roles in the mathematics
87 achievement of students.

Comment [D11]: Use a correct English word

88 In the last two decades, educators have made significant advances in their
89 | thinking about how mathematics students learn and how teachers should teach
90 **(reference??)**. Increased attention has focused on the role of the learner as an active
91 participant in the teaching-learning process. In particular, this view suggests that the
92 effects of teaching mathematics depend partly on what the learner's prior knowledge
93 | and what the learner thinks about during **the** learning **process**. Instead of viewing
94 mathematics learning as passively recording the stimuli of teachers' presentations,
95 learning is viewed as an active process that occurs within and that can be influenced by
96 | the learner.⁴ **As an alternative of viewing the outcomes of the learning solely on what**
97 **the teacher presents, the outcome of mathematics learning depends jointly on what**
98 **information is presented and how the learner processes that information.**

Comment [D12]: Try to paraphrase the sentence and avoid to repeat a word three times like information.

99 To construct mathematical knowledge, several researchers suggest that students
100 | become engaged in the solution of multi-step **and**, real world problems.⁵ Other
101 researchers focus on the social aspect of knowledge construction and recommend the
102 use of cooperative learning.⁶ More recently, researchers have suggested the
103 | combination of both approaches. They indicated**d** that engaging socially in a cooperative
104 setting, while solving real world problems, is an excellent means of constructing
105 knowledge.⁷ There is a need to study about how interactions, fostered in cooperative
106 settings, influence the construction of mathematical knowledge.⁸

107 | **Based on the above-mentioned concepts, It is from these concepts that** the researcher
108 decides to conduct this study in the secondary schools of Catubig Valley. Studying
109 mathematics performance from the perspective of constructivist theories is tantamount
110 to determining the factors that affect students' mathematics performance.

111 Generally, this study determined secondary teacher's beliefs about mathematics
112 and the instructional practices in teaching the subject in the Pacific Towns of Northern

113 Samar. Specifically, this study tried to: Determine the profile of mathematics teachers in
114 terms of: Age, Educational attainment; Relevant trainings attended; Find out teachers'
115 constructivist beliefs about mathematics in terms of: emphasizing prior knowledge,
116 social interaction, and real world connections; Determine teachers' constructivist
117 instructional practices in mathematics teaching; Determine the mathematics
118 performance of the students; Find out whether there is significant relationship between
119 teachers' beliefs about mathematics and students mathematics performance; Determine
120 whether there is significant relationship between instructional practices and students'
121 mathematics performance. Determining teachers' beliefs about mathematics and
122 current instructional methods used in the classrooms will lead to an understanding of
123 where education stands in relation to reform and will provide increased knowledge of
124 the direction in which education is headed.

125 This study is anchored on Constructivism theory wherein, the fundamental task
126 of teachers is to engage students in learning activities that build and connect to the
127 students' prior knowledge and real world experiences.⁹ It is an important aspect of
128 learning in elementary, high school or college.¹⁰ It involves the active participation of
129 individuals in the learning process because, by its nature, it concerns the learner's
130 ability to select and utilize appropriate learning components, monitor progress, and
131 evaluate performance. The current trend in education is to adopt instructional practices
132 that follow research on how the human brain works. The constructivist theory,
133 emphasizing prior knowledge, social interaction, and real world connections, is used in
134 this research. However, few large scale studies have been based on this theory. The
135 studies that have been conducted were qualitative in nature and therefore have
136 provided little empirical evidence that can be generalized to a larger population. From
137 the perspective of Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory, the cognitive development in a child
138 is social, which involves another person and the society as a whole. In other words,
139 social interaction taking the form of dialogue or cues or gestures, plays an important
140 role in constructivism and concept formation.¹¹

141
142
143

Materials and Methods

144 This study utilized the descriptive-correlational research design. The
145 demographic profile of teachers, their constructivist beliefs about mathematics teaching
146 and learning, mathematics teachers' constructivist instructional practices and

Comment [D13]: The introductory part can be summarized into main ideas that convince the readers.

Comment [D14]: Respect the journal requirements

147 mathematics performance of students was described as it exists at the present time.
148 The correlational part included the ~~establishment~~ of the relationship between
149 teachers' constructivist beliefs about mathematics and students' mathematics
150 performance. Similar relationship will be tested between instructional practices and
151 students' mathematics performance.

Comment [D15]: When this will be tested? Use appropriate grammar

152 The population of this study consists of Grade 7 mathematics teachers and
153 students in the secondary schools in the Pacific area of Northern Samar. Because of
154 the limited number of population for the teachers, complete enumeration will be made.
155 However, only five Grade 7 students represented each of the mathematics teachers.
156 They were randomly selected using fishbowl technique. Respondents of this study were
157 30 Grade 7 secondary mathematics teachers in the Pacific towns of Northern Samar.
158 They accomplished questionnaires that measured constructivist beliefs in mathematics
159 and instructional practices. The total number of Secondary respondents were 150
160 Grade 7 students. Their mathematics performance was obtained using a researcher-
161 made test. The variables of this study consist of independent and dependent variables.
162 The independent variables consist of the demographic profile of teachers (i.e. age,
163 highest educational attainment, and relevant trainings attended) constructivist belief
164 about mathematics, and instructional practices. The mathematics performance of the
165 students will served as the dependent variables.

Comment [D16]: What is the meaning of this verb in the sentence? Are the teachers prepared the questionnaires or they answered the questionnaires?

166 The questionnaires on beliefs about mathematics is a 42-item instrument
167 patterned from the study of Sert about mathematics beliefs and its effect on students'
168 academic performance.¹² The instrument is divided into three factors, namely:
169 emphasizing prior knowledge, social interaction, and real world connections. The
170 reliability of the three subscales was established by the author. Cronbach's alpha
171 coefficients for the three factors are $\alpha=0.78$, $\alpha=0.77$ and $\alpha=0.89$, respectively. The
172 instrument on the instructional practices was adopted from the study of Banda about
173 constructivist teachers' classroom practices and students' mathematics performance¹³.
174 The author has established its reliability at $\alpha=0.81$, considered as reliable. Lastly, the
175 mathematics performance of the students will be measured using a researcher-
176 made test. It is a 45-item test that covers the third grading period.

177 To facilitate presentation and statistical analyses, the following variables were
178 be categorized, scored, or interpreted as follows:

179 Teacher's Profile

180 The age of the teacher-respondents was categorized and coded as follows:

41 up

5

36 to 40	4
31 to 35	3
26 to 30	2
25 and below	1

181

182 The highest educational attainment of teachers was categorized and coded as follows:

PhD/EdD Graduate	5
With PhD units	4
MA Graduate	3
With MA units	2
College Graduate	1

183 The number of relevant trainings attended was categorized as follows:

5 trainings and above	4
3-4 trainings	3
1-2 trainings	2
Did not attend	1

184

185 Constructivist Beliefs about Mathematics

186 Beliefs about mathematics of teacher-respondents were scored and interpreted as
187 follows:

Rating	Score	Range	Interpretation
Strongly Agree	5	4.20–5.00	Highly Demonstrated
Agree	4	3.40–4.19	Demonstrated
Agree A Little	3	2.60–3.39	Moderately Demonstrated
Disagree	2	1.80–2.59	Poorly Demonstrated
Strongly Disagree	1	1.00–1.79	Not Demonstrated

188 Instructional Practices

189 The respondents ~~will~~ encircle the appropriate number that corresponds to their
190 answers. The following scale ranges were used in determining the score and
191 interpretation:

192

Rating	Score	Range	Interpretation
--------	-------	-------	----------------

Strongly Agree	5	4.20–5.00	Very High extent
Agree	4	3.40–4.19	High extent
Agree A Little	3	2.60–3.39	Moderate extent
Disagree	2	1.80–2.59	Low extent
Strongly Disagree	1	1.00–1.79	Very Low extent

193
194 Students' Mathematics Performance
195 The mathematics performance of the students was measured using a researcher-made
196 test. It was categorized and interpreted as follows:

<u>Score</u>	<u>Interpretation</u>
26 - 30	Outstanding (90%-and above)
21 - 25	Very Satisfactory (85%-89%)
15 - 20	Satisfactory (80%-84%)
10 - 14	Fair (75%-79%)
9 below	Failed (Less than 75%)

197
198 The demographic profile of teachers, constructivist beliefs about mathematics and
199 instructional practices, and academic performance of the student-respondents were
200 analyzed and presented using averages, frequency counts, and weighted mean.
201 Multiple regression analysis was used to determine the relationship between the beliefs
202 in mathematics and students' mathematics performance. Similar statistical analysis was
203 used to determine relationship between instructional practices and mathematics
204 performance of the students. A 0.05 margin of error was assumed in hypotheses
205 testing. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 19) software was used
206 in all the analyses.

207
208 **Results and Discussion**

209 Profile of mathematics teachers

210 The results concerning the profile of teachers are summarized in Table 1.

211 Table 1 presents the profile of the Grade 7 mathematics teachers who
212 participated in this study. ~~Regarding As regards~~ age, more than 50 percent of them are
213 aged 20 to 29 suggesting that most of the teachers are less than a decade in the

Comment [D17]: This part can be moved to results or it can be summarized in the methodology part rather than how it is presented.

Comment [D18]: There is need to write a sentence to introduce this part.

Comment [D19]: Respect the journal requirements

Comment [D20]: You may start with a good intro rather than use Table 1...

214 service. ~~Based on their qualifications, As to educational attainment,~~ most of the
 215 respondents are enrolled in master's program ~~and .~~ Only one-third have already
 216 ~~finished-completed the~~ master's degree. In terms of ~~capacity building~~ relevant trainings,
 217 almost half of the respondents ~~have-attended~~ one to two trainings ~~attended~~ suggesting
 218 the lack of professional development of mathematics teachers on constructivist
 219 teaching.

220 Table 1

221 Profile of Mathematics teachers

AGE	Frequency	Percent
40-49	7	23.33
30-39	6	20.00
20-29	17	56.67
Total	30	100.00


Educational Attainment	Frequency	Percent
Master's Degree with Doctoral units	3	10.00
Master's Degree	7	23.33
BS Degree with MA units	12	40.00
Bachelor's Degree	8	26.67
Total	30	100.00

Relevant Trainings	Frequency	Percent
5 or more	1	3.33
3 to 4	7	23.33
1 to 2	14	46.67
None	8	26.67
Total	30	100.00

223

224

UNDER PEER REVIEW



225 Teachers' constructivist beliefs about mathematics

226 ~~In this section, it is shown that The next table shows teachers' constructivist~~
 227 ~~beliefs about mathematics. It shows that that~~ most teachers believe that teaching should
 228 involve real world connections (Table 2a). Teachers ~~believe~~consider that they should
 229 create real-world environments that employ the context in which learning is relevant,
 230 provide contextual applications in problem solving and knowledge acquisition, ~~and~~
 231 ~~Problem-solving~~, and higher-order thinking skills and deep understanding are
 232 emphasized in solving real world problems.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

233
 234
 235 Table 2a
 Teachers' constructivist beliefs about mathematics – Real World Connections

Real World Connections	Weighted Mean	Interpretation
Create real-world environments that employ the context in which learning is relevant;	4.67	Highly demonstrated
Provide contextual applications in problem solving and knowledge acquisition.	4.33	Highly demonstrated
Problem-solving, higher-order thinking skills and deep understanding are emphasized in solving real world problems.	3.83	Demonstrated
Provide real-world, case-based learning environments, rather than pre-determined instructional sequences;	3.67	Demonstrated
Provide for authentic versus academic contexts for learning;	3.67	Demonstrated
Represent the natural complexity of the real world;	3.50	Demonstrated
Embed learning in a rich authentic problem-solving environment;	3.33	Moderately demonstrated
Embed learning in realistic and relevant contexts;	3.33	Moderately demonstrated
Provide multiple representations of reality;	3.00	Moderately demonstrated
Focus on realistic approaches to solving real-world problems;	2.83	Moderately demonstrated
Provide tools and environments that help learners interpret the multiple perspectives of the world;	2.83	Moderately demonstrated
Embed learning in social experiences;	2.33	Poorly demonstrated
Grand Mean	3.44	Demonstrated

236

237 | Beliefs about ~~emphasizing~~highlighting prior knowledge were also
238 | ~~manifested~~revealed by the teacher-respondents. ~~Some of the H~~highly demonstrated
239 | beliefs include encouraging the use of multiple modes of representation to facilitate
240 | easy understanding and recall, ~~belief that~~ learner's previous knowledge constructions,
241 | ~~beliefs and attitudes are considered in the knowledge construction process~~ and
242 | awareness of the importance of goals for the learner, and the dichotomy between
243 | learner and teacher goals. This ~~goes to show~~indicates that teachers believe in the
244 | importance of prior knowledge in the ~~construction~~generation of ~~the new present~~
245 | knowledge. This finding ~~runs parallel with~~is supported by the ~~proposed schema~~theory
246 | of Piaget that student's construct knowledge through his schema.
247

UNDER PEER REVIEW

248

Table 2b

Comment [D21]: The Table 2b is nowhere mentioned in the text, try to adjust it.

249

Teachers' constructivist beliefs about mathematics – Emphasizing Prior Knowledge

Emphasizing Prior Knowledge	Weighted Mean	Interpretation
Encourage the use of multiple modes of representation to facilitate easy understanding and recall;	4.83	Highly demonstrated
The learner's previous knowledge constructions, beliefs and attitudes are considered in the knowledge construction process.	4.50	Highly demonstrated
awareness of the importance of goals for the learner, and the dichotomy between learner and teacher goals;	4.50	Highly demonstrated
Enable context-and content dependent knowledge construction;	4.17	Demonstrated
sensitivity toward and attentiveness to the learner's previous constructions;	4.17	Demonstrated
attention to metacognition and strategic self-regulation by learners;	4.17	Demonstrated
Provide experience with the knowledge construction process;	4.17	Demonstrated
Foster reflective practice;	3.50	Demonstrated
Encourage self-awareness in the knowledge construction process.	3.20	Moderately demonstrated
Provide experience in and appreciation for multiple perspectives;	3.00	Moderately demonstrated
diagnostic teaching attempting to remedy learner errors and misconceptions;	2.67	Moderately demonstrated
awareness of the importance of social contexts, such as the difference between street mathematics and school mathematics	2.50	Poorly demonstrated
Errors provide the opportunity for insight into students' previous knowledge constructions.	2.50	Poorly demonstrated
Mean	3.68	Demonstrated

250

251

252

253

254 Social Interaction Beliefs

255 | In terms of social interaction beliefs, teachers ~~manifested~~exhibited support for
 256 collaborative construction of knowledge through social negotiation, the use of multiple
 257 representations of mathematical concepts, and encourage ownership and voice in the
 258 learning process. These findings show that most of the teachers believe that students
 259 learn best by constructing their knowledge through peer learning or collaborative
 260 ~~workefforts with peers. -FurthermoreThis finding confirms that of~~ Lave suggested that
 261 ~~who contends that~~ a collaborative effort among students create independent learning
 262 (Reference???). This is in line also similar to previous findings of Selden who concluded
 263 that students learning through interaction with peers retain more knowledge compared
 264 to students who retain information by listening to teachers (Reference???).

265 | Table 2c

267 Teachers' constructivist beliefs about mathematics – **Emphasizing Prior Knowledge**

Social Interaction	Weighted Mean	Interpretation
Support collaborative construction of knowledge through social negotiation.	4.50	Highly demonstrated
The use of multiple representations of mathematical concepts;	4.33	Highly demonstrated
Encourage ownership and voice in the learning process;	3.83	Demonstrated
Goals and objectives are derived by the student or in negotiation with the teacher or system.	3.67	Demonstrated
This construction takes place in individual contexts and through social negotiation, collaboration and experience.	3.20	Moderately demonstrated
Knowledge complexity is reflected in an emphasis on conceptual interrelatedness and interdisciplinary learning.	3.20	Moderately demonstrated
Primary sources of data are used in order to ensure authenticity and real-world complexity.	3.00	Moderately demonstrated
The student plays a central role in mediating and controlling learning.	2.83	Moderately demonstrated
Learning situations, environments, skills, content and tasks are relevant, realistic, authentic and represent the natural complexities of the 'real world'.	2.83	Moderately demonstrated
Knowledge construction through collaborative learning is emphasized.	2.83	Moderately demonstrated

Comment [D22]: Is this correct, which belief here? Collaborative or social interaction Beliefs?

Scaffolding is facilitated to help students perform just beyond the limits of their ability.	2.67	Moderately demonstrated
Activities, opportunities, tools and environments are provided to encourage metacognition, self-analysis -regulation, -reflection & -awareness.	2.60	Moderately demonstrated
Multiple perspectives and representations of concepts and content are presented and encouraged.	2.50	Poorly demonstrated
Collaborative exploration is a favored approach in order to encourage students to seek knowledge on their own and to manage the pursuit of their goals.	2.33	Poorly demonstrated
Learners are provided with the opportunity for apprenticeship learning in which there is an increasing complexity of tasks, skills and knowledge acquisition.	1.50	Not demonstrated
Teachers serve in the role of guides, monitors, coaches, tutors and facilitators.	1.33	Not demonstrated
Collaborative and cooperative learning are favored in order to expose the learner to alternative viewpoints.	1.33	Not demonstrated
Grand Mean	2.85	Moderately demonstrated

268

269 Generally, these findings show that teachers consciously or unconsciously held beliefs,
 270 views, and preferences about mathematics in the teaching and learning process and its
 271 teaching. These findings are in agreement with that of confirm the study of Thomson
 272 that beliefs play a significant role in shaping teachers' characteristic patterns of
 273 instructional practice (reference???). –This is also one of the most striking findings
 274 observed by Thompson that mathematics teachers' practices regarding the role of
 275 problem solving in mathematics teaching is grounded on their beliefs.
 276 Beliefs account for teacher's view of its major role, which is to transmit content, as well
 277 as by its limited self-confidence with respect to its mathematical ability. Studies found
 278 that although teachers were quite good in predicting the performance of individual
 279 students, they had great difficulty in anticipating an individual student's preferred
 280 solution practices (References???).

281

282 Constructivist instructional practices in mathematics teaching

283

284 Constructivist mathematics teachers have instructional practices as reflected in Table 3.
 285 Teachers identify students who have difficulties to in understanding ing the main ideas of the

Comment [D23]: Remember to add a reference when you quote something from others.

286 lesson. ~~They design~~The lessons are designed or shaped to allow the teachers ~~them~~ to
 287 monitor the student's program. ~~They also take into account prior knowledge of their~~
 288 ~~students. These are just few of instructional strategies employed by mathematics~~
 289 ~~teachers in this study.~~ These instructional practices are tools used to facilitate the
 290 knowledge acquisition. Mathematics educators recognize that alternative instructional
 291 practices offer various benefits to students. Using of a variety of instructional
 292 approaches, including small and large group activities, discussion of the results,
 293 manipulative, calculators, and computers with decreased attention to paper-and-pencil
 294 drills confirmed the recommendations of the National Council of Teaching mathematics
 295 (NCTM) ~~(Any reference here??)~~. These constructivist instructional strategies are
 296 expected to lead students to be more active learners capable of applying mathematics
 297 in real life situations. ~~For this reason, These strategies will encourage~~ teachers are
 298 encouraged to utilize small and large group working arrangements in the classrooms.
 299 This is necessary to actively involve students in using mathematics in both
 300 mathematical and real world contexts. Constructivist strategies empower students to
 301 become independent thinkers, capable of synthesizing, critiquing, and summarizing
 302 their products.

Comment [D24]: The sentence has been mentioned in the above sections many times, so delete it here.

303
304
305
Table 3
Instructional practices in mathematics teaching

Instructional Practices	Weighted Mean	Interpretation
I identify students who have difficulties in understanding the main ideas of the lesson.	4.75	Very high extent
I design my lessons to allow the me to-monitoring studentof student progress.	4.67	Very high extent
I take into account of prior knowledge of my students.	4.50	Very high extent
I make sure the-that he pace of the lesson is appropriate for the developmental level/needs of the students and the purpose of the lesson.	4.50	Very high extent
My questioning methods are likely to enhance the development of student's conceptual understanding/ problem solving.	4.17	High extent
My lessons progress are based on students' responses.	4.17	High extent
I give students immediate constructive feedback when they need directions to proceed.	4.00	High extent
The class activities consolidate the main ideas of the	4.00	High extent

lesson.		
I probe students' reasoning.	3.83	High extent
I provide adequate time and structure for reflection.	3.83	High extent
I encourage my students to talk and share ideas.	3.50	High extent
I interact with my students.	3.17	Moderately extent
My instructional methods and activities reflect attention to issues of access, equity and diversity for students.	3.00	Moderately extent
The design of my lessons incorporate tasks, roles, and interactions consistent with analytical lessons.	2.33	Low extent
The instructional methods and activities I use reflect attention to students' experiences and readiness.	1.83	Low extent
Grand Mean	3.77	High extent

306

307 **Mathematics performance of the students**

308 Table 4 shows the mathematics performance of student-respondents in the
 309 mathematics test given by the researcher. It shows that more than 50 percent of the
 310 students have fair performance with 96 or 64 percent. Only 27 or 18 percent performed
 311 satisfactorily. This finding suggests that most of the students did not perform well in the
 312 mathematics test given by the researcher (Table 4).

313

314

315

316

Table 4
 Mathematics performance of the students

Mathematics Performance	Frequency	Percent
Satisfactory (16-20)	27	18.00
Fair (10-15)	96	64.00
Failed (9 below)	27	18.00
Total	150	100.00

317

Formatted: Justified

318 **Relationship between teachers' beliefs about mathematics and students**
 319 **mathematics performance**

320
 321 Table 5 shows the relationship between teachers' beliefs about mathematics and
 322 students mathematics performance. It shows that beliefs about emphasizing prior
 323 knowledge ($\beta=0.711$, $p<0.05$) and beliefs in social interaction ($\beta=0.491$, $p<0.05$)
 324 significantly predicted mathematics performance of students. Real world connection did
 325 not significantly predict mathematics performance. These findings show that teachers
 326 who create real-world environments that employ the context in which learning is
 327 relevant or focus on realistic approaches to solving real-world problems produce
 328 students who are good in math. This finding means that teacher's beliefs about
 329 mathematics can determine how s/he chooses to approach a problem, which
 330 techniques to will be used or avoided, how long and how hard one will work on attempt
 331 it, and so on. These teachers' beliefs exert a powerful influence on students'
 332 performance, on their willingness to engage in mathematical tasks, and on their own
 333 ultimate mathematical disposition. This finding confirms that of Boekaert which revealed
 334 that it is not sufficient for students to acquire certain concepts, skills, and heuristics,
 335 such as estimation skills (reference???). Students They should get support from
 336 teachers to apply the learned skills when for different situations and opportunities
 337 occur to use those skills, and should be inclined to do so whenever appropriate. This
 338 teacher disposition cannot be directly taught but has to develop over an extended
 339 period of time through experiential activities. According to Boekaert, confronted with a
 340 learning task, teacher's beliefs help to develop either a learning or a coping intention,
 341 depending on their perception of the task demands and the context. To encourage a
 342 learning intention, teachers need positive expectations and feelings.

343
 344 Table 5

345 Relationship between teachers' beliefs about mathematics and students' mathematics
 346 performance
 347

Teachers' beliefs about mathematics	Parameters	Mathematics Performance
Emphasizing prior knowledge	Beta	0.711
	Significance	0.002
	Interpretation	Significant
Social Interaction	Beta	0.491
	Significance	0.394
	Interpretation	Significant
Real world connection	Beta	0.128
	Significance	0.235

Comment [D25]: You have to include the Tables inside the texts appropriately even some of the previous Tables.

Interpretation Not significant

348

349 | **Relationship between instructional practices and students' mathematics**
350 | **performance**

Formatted: Justified

352 | Table 6 shows the ~~result of the test of~~ relationship between instructional practices and
353 | students' mathematics performance. No significant relationship was found between
354 | instructional practices and mathematics performance of the students ($\beta=0.1103$,
355 | $p>0.05$).

356 | This finding ~~displays means~~ that ~~the~~ respondents' constructivist instructional practices
357 | did not offer a significant role in ~~developingevolving~~ the mathematics ability of the
358 | students. It ~~indicates means~~ that teachers did not ~~apply a leaner centred approach play~~
359 | ~~an active role in assimilating to engage a learner at the centre of the~~ knowledge ~~and~~
360 | ~~skills to be developed. into students' existing mental framework and reconstructing new~~
361 | ~~knowledge.~~ The ability of students to apply their school learned knowledge to the real
362 | world was probably undervalued ~~throughunder~~ memorization ~~ing bits~~ and pieces of
363 | knowledge that may seem unrelated to them. ~~Theis~~ finding implies that teachers did not
364 | continually analyze his or her curriculum planning and instructional practices.

365 | This finding disconfirms Boekaerts research who has shown that instructional practices
366 | are tools to facilitate knowledge acquisition and that teachers in the current study did
367 | not recognize that alternative instructional practices offer various benefits to students.

368

369

370

Table 6

371 | Relationship between instructional practices and students' mathematics performance

372

Instructional practices	Parameters	Mathematics Performance
	Beta	0.1103
Instructional practices	Significance	0.323
	Interpretation	Not significant

373

374

375

Conclusion and Implications

Comment [D26]: Respect the journal requirements

376 | ~~Based on the results from this study, Findingsit~~ shows that more than half of the
377 | respondents are aged ~~between 20 andto~~ 29 suggesting that most of the teachers are
378 | neophyte in the ~~teaching careerservice. As to educational attainment,~~ most of the
379 | respondents are enrolled in master's program. Only one-third have already finished
380 | master's degree. In terms of relevant trainings, almost half of the respondents have one

381 to two trainings attended suggesting the lack of professional development of
382 mathematics teachers on constructivist teaching.

383 Most teachers believe that teaching should involve real world connections.
384 Teachers believe that they should create real-world environments that employ the
385 context in which learning is relevant, provide contextual applications in problem solving
386 and knowledge acquisition, and problem-solving, and higher-order thinking skills and
387 deep understanding are emphasized in solving real world problems.

388 Beliefs about emphasizing prior knowledge were also manifested by the teacher-
389 respondents. Teachers believed to encourage the use of multiple modes of
390 representation to facilitate easy understanding and recall. They believe that the learner's
391 previous knowledge constructions, beliefs and attitudes are considered in the
392 knowledge construction process. Teachers also manifested beliefs about support in
393 collaborative construction of knowledge through social negotiation, and the use of
394 multiple representations of mathematical concepts. Generally, these findings show that
395 teachers consciously or unconsciously held beliefs, views, and preferences about
396 mathematics and its teaching. These findings confirm the study of Thomson that beliefs
397 play a significant role in shaping teachers' characteristic patterns of instructional
398 practice. This is also one of the most striking findings observed by Thompson that
399 mathematics teachers' practices regarding the role of problem solving in mathematics
400 teaching is grounded on their beliefs. Beliefs account for teacher's view of her major
401 role, which is to transmit content, as well as by her limited self-confidence with respect
402 to her mathematical ability. Studies found that although teachers were quite good in
403 predicting the performance of individual students, they had great difficulty in anticipating
404 an individual student's preferred solution practices

405 Most teachers identify students who have difficulties in understanding the main ideas of
406 the lesson. They design lessons to allow them to monitor student program. They also
407 take into account prior knowledge of their students. These are just few of instructional
408 strategies employed by mathematics teachers in this study. These instructional
409 practices are tools to facilitate knowledge acquisition. Mathematics educators recognize
410 that alternative instructional practices offer various benefits to students. Using of a
411 variety of instructional approaches, including small and large group activities, discussion
412 of the results, manipulative, calculators, and computers with decreased attention to
413 paper-and-pencil drills confirmed the recommendations of the National Council of
414 Teaching mathematics. These constructivist instructional strategies are expected to
415 lead students to be active learners capable of applying mathematics in real life. These
416 strategies will encourage teachers to utilize small and large group working
417 arrangements in the classrooms. This is necessary to actively involve students in using
418 mathematics in both mathematical and real world contexts. Constructivist strategies
419 empower students to become independent thinkers, capable of synthesizing, critiquing,
420 and summarizing their products. More than half of the students have fair mathematics

Comment [D27]: You are repeating what is in the part of methodology, you need to summarize the findings rather than to copy everything. Ensure that the reader of this work is able to read only the conclusion and understand the whole work done.

421 performance. This finding suggests that most of the students did not perform well in the
422 mathematics test given by the researcher.

423 Teachers' beliefs about emphasizing prior knowledge and beliefs in social
424 interaction significantly predicted mathematics performance of students. Real world
425 connection did not significantly predict mathematics performance. These findings show
426 that teachers who create real-world environments that employ the context in which
427 learning is relevant or focus on realistic approaches to solving real-world problems
428 produce students who are good in math. This finding means that teacher's beliefs about
429 mathematics can determine how s/he chooses to approach a problem, which
430 techniques will be used or avoided, how long and how hard one will work on it, and so
431 on. These teachers' beliefs exert a powerful influence on students' performance, on
432 their willingness to engage in mathematical tasks, and on their own ultimate
433 mathematical disposition. This finding implies that it is not sufficient for students to
434 acquire certain concepts, skills, and heuristics, such as estimation skills. They should
435 get support from teachers for situations and opportunities to use those skills, and should
436 be inclined to do so whenever appropriate. This teacher disposition cannot be directly
437 taught but has to be developed over an extended period of time through experiential
438 activities.

439 Constructivist instructional practices did not offer a significant role in developing
440 the mathematics ability of the students. It means that teachers did not play a dynamic
441 role in assimilating knowledge into students' existing mental framework and
442 reconstructing new knowledge. The ability of students to apply their school learned
443 knowledge to the real world was probably undervalued under memorizing bits and
444 pieces of knowledge that may seem unrelated to them. This finding implies that
445 teachers did not continually analyze his or her curriculum planning and instructional
446 practices.

Comment [D28]: The whole conclusion has to be reshaped because it is only the repetition of the findings and interpretation.

449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456

LITERATURE CITED

Anderson, J.R., Reder, L.M., & Simon, H.A. (2008). Applications and misapplications of cognitive psychology to mathematics education. [On-line]. Available: <http://act.psy.cmu.edu/personal/ja/misapplied.html>.

Balacheff, N. (1990). Future perspectives for research in the psychology of mathematics education. In P. Nesher & J. Kilpatrick (Eds.), *Mathematics and cognition: A research synthesis by the international group for the psychology of mathematics education* (pp.134-1481). New York: Cambridge University Press.

Bershon, B.L. (2012). Cooperative problem solving: A link to inner speech. In R. Hertz-Lazarowitz & N. Miller (Eds.), *Interaction in cooperative groups: The theoretical anatomy for group learning* (36-48). New York: Cambridge University Press.

Bransford & Williams, 2010. Cooperative problem solving: A link to inner speech. In R. Hertz-Lazarowitz & N. Miller (Eds.), *Interaction in cooperative groups: The theoretical anatomy for group learning* (36-48). New York: Cambridge University Press. Boekaert

Brooks, J. G. & Brooks, M. G. (1993). *In search of understanding: The case for constructivist classrooms*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Carpenter, T. (2000). Teaching as problem solving. In R. Charles & E. Silver (Eds.), *The teaching and assessing of mathematical problem solving*. (3rd ed., pp. 187-202). Reston, VA: NCTM.

Carpenter, T. P., Fennema, E., Peterson, P.L. & Carey, D.A. (2008). Teachers' pedagogy content knowledge of students' problem solving in elementary arithmetic. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*. 19(5), 499-532.

Christine Tasic, "The Use of Computational Estimation on Strategies in Intermediate algebra" (Unpublished Master's Colloquium: UEP, Graduate School, Catarman, Northern Samar, 2006)

Danielson, C. (1996). *Enhancing professional practice: A framework for teaching*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

De Corte E., Greer, B. & Verschaffel, L. (1996). Mathematics teaching and learning. In D.C. Berliner & R.C. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (pp. 491- 549). New York: MacMillan.

De Corte E., Greer, B. & Verschaffel, L. (2006). Mathematics teaching and learning. In D.C. Berliner & R.C. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (pp. 491- 549). New York: MacMillan.

Dolores Sabong, "Computer Aided Instruction in Teaching Intermediate

Comment [D29]: It is better to mention the corresponding reference in the text. If you used 1, the reader must be able to identify that authors, otherwise you are confusing everyone here. Is it recommended to write references in a Table? Not able to identify the missing references.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

- algebra-I", (Unpublished Master's Colloquium: U.E.P., Catarman, Northern Samar, 2001)
- Driver, R., Asosko, H., Leach, J., Mortimer, E., & Scott, P. (1994). Constructing scientific knowledge in the classroom. *Educational Researcher*. 23 (7), 5-12.
- Fe S. Arandia. "The Correlates of Reading Speed and Comprehension Among Grade VI students" (Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Eastern Philippines, Northern Samar)
- Fullan, M. (1994). Teacher leadership: A failure to conceptualize. In *Teachers as leaders: perspective on the professional development of teachers*. edited by D. Walling. Bloomington: Phi Delta Kappa.
- Garduno, E.L.H. (1997). Effects of teaching problem solving through cooperative learning methods on student mathematics achievement, attitudes toward mathematics, mathematics self-efficacy, and metacognition. *Dissertation Abstracts International*. 57 (9), University Microfilms No. DA .
- Greer, B. (2013). The mathematical modeling perspectives on world problems. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*. 12, 239-250.
- Hersh, R., & John-Steiner, V., (2011). *Loving and hating mathematics: Challenging the myths of mathematical life*. Princeton University Press. Princeton, NJ.
- Johnson, D.W. & Johnson, R.T. (2009). Cooperative learning in mathematics education. In P.R. Trafton and A.P. Shulte (Eds.), *New directions for elementary school mathematics* (d p . 234-245). Reston, VA: NCTM.
- NAT Overview and 2014 Test Results. Department of Education National Testing and Research Center
- National Statistics Office. "Total Population by Province, City, Municipality and Barangay: as of May 1, 2010". 2010 Census of Population and Housing. Retrieved 18 April 2013.
- N. Sert, (2008). Constructivism in the secondary school curricula. *Journal of Theory and Practice in Education*, 4(2), 291-316. doi:10.1004
- Pintrich, P. R. & Garcia, T. (1994). Self-regulated learning in college students: Knowledge, strategies, and motivation. In P. R. Pintrich, D. R. Brown, & C. E. Weinstein (Eds.), *Student Motivation, Cognition, and Learning* (pp. 113-134). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Romberg, T.A. (1992). Mathematics learning and teaching: What we have learned in ten years. In C. Collins & J.N. Mangieri (Eds.). *Teaching thinking: An agenda for the 21st century* (pp. 43-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Saloman, G. (2003). No distribution without individual's cognition: A dynamic interactional view. In G. Saloman (Ed.), *Distributed cognitions: Psychology and educational considerations*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Schoenfeld, A.H. (2012). Learning to think mathematically: Problem solving, metacognition, and sense-making in mathematics. In D.A. Grouws (Ed.), Handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning (pp. 334-370). New York: Macmillan.

TIMSS Results, (2003). Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study. International Association for Educational Evaluation.

Total Population by Province, City, Municipality and Barangay: as of May 1, 2010". 2010 Census of Population and Housing. National Statistics Office. Retrieved 18 April 2013.

William Susuwele Banda, (2009) "Classroom Assessment in Malawi: Teachers' Perceptions and Practices in Mathematics" Unpublished Dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.