



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_65389
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRUCTIVIST TEACHERS BELIEFS, INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICES AND STUDENTS' MATHEMATICS PERFORMANCE
Type of the Article	Peer review

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The authors have to respect the requirements of the journal and respect the template. For example all the titles or subtitles are written in Arial, Bold, 11 font, left aligned, caps. No line numbers were included to help to review the manuscript and provide comments easily. Key words are less than 4 because only three were given Subtitles are not numbered as required by the journal (1. INTRODUCTION, 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS,...)</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>There is need to add "ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS" if some people were involved in this work such as stakeholders where you collected your data, etc.</b></li> <li>- Clarify if there is no conflict of interest and this is also showed in the journal requirements</li> <li>- Remember to mention also your main contributions to this manuscript</li> <li>- Comments are found in the manuscript.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>All the comments are inserted in the text, try to address one by one in order to make a manuscript with substantial. The manuscript is good as it reflects the reality in the teaching and learning process of mathematics. Avoid to use long sentences as they can lack the correct meaning. English also can be improved even if I am not a native English, try to rephrase some sentences as indicated in the manuscript. Some parts of the manuscript have to be summarized including the introduction and the conclusion by proving the essential. The part of materials and methods has to be improved meaning that remove the part of results as proposed and indicate what is needed in that section. The conclusion has to be edited and summarized by providing the key ideas of findings of your work, do not reproduce same as in the methodology part. Remember also to add references when you quote something from others. There other comments and questions that are found in the manuscript For the references, try to match them with that in the text, otherwise, you are confusing the readers. Avoid to write the references in a Table. It is not possible to identify the missing references in the list of references.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Edwige Kampire</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Rwanda-College of Education, Rwanda</b>