



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_58343
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequent Exposure to Air-Freshener reduces Male Fertility
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	In general terms the design of the essay seems to me to be well thought out and the results are clear and well discussed.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>I have some questions and suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) In the introduction the paragraph of impotence does not contribute in the sense of the study, it can be eliminated.</li> <li>b) What's the age of the rats?</li> <li>c) It would be interesting to see the results in tables, where reference is made to normal values.</li> <li>d) The acronym VOCs appears to explain it (Volatile Organic Compounds).</li> <li>e) Figure 1 is not quoted in the text and is accompanied by an inappropriate reference.</li> <li>f) Regarding sperm abnormalities, it would be interesting to know the type of sperm abnormalities (primary, secondary).</li> <li>g) Check that Sertoli is written in capital letters.</li> <li>h) Through the text the expression "this study" or "the present study" is repeated I suggest to review</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p><b>All the animals received humane care according to the criteria outlined in the 'Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals' prepared by the National Academy of Science and published by the National Institute of Health</b></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Alfonso Eduardo Sánchez Riquelme</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universidad de Las Américas, Chile</i></b>