



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_63341
Title of the Manuscript:	Discussion on Biotechnology and Food Policy: Taking China's Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript presents an interesting discussion on GMOs and the transformation of food policy in China through an understandable desk analysis of available reports and papers.</p> <p>Nevertheless, this reviewing paper needs to be updated by using some additional updated references.</p> <p>Also, the text in some parts is not well structured and requires to be adjusted as indicated in track change of the revised version.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Wording mistakes are numerous. They are corrected in the revised version as indicated in the track change.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lamis Chalak
Department, University & Country	The Lebanese University, Lebanon