



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_56772
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization and Comparison of Alkali Extracted Starches from Selected Cereals and Tubers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript was on "Characterization and Comparison of Alkali Extracted Starches from Selected Cereals and Tubers". The manuscript was well designed and well written.</p> <p>The abstract was well written and adequate. The introduction and discussion could be improved by citing recent references (2016-2020) to improve the quality of the manuscript. The conclusion is adequate.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adeyeye, Samuel Ayofemi Olalekan
Department, University & Country	Ton Duc Thang University, Vietnam