

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_73415
Title of the Manuscript:	MODES OF PRESENTATION OF PATIENTS WITH SUSPECTED PROSTATE CANCER IN A NIGERIAN SEMI-URBAN TERTIARY HEALTH FACILITY.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is a good and excellent one that contains a relevant problem in Sb-Sahara Africa but the writing of the English Language needs to be improved to an excellent level of written language. Some of your sentences need to be supported by references. I have made supportive corrections to your write up which you must read and reflect upon and if you are happy with the suggestions you can include them. The conclusions need to be expanded and I have made suggestions for you. Your discussions could be expanded and I have made supportive additions for you. Your references must be written in a consistent form and I have made suggestions for you to incorporate.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The references must be consistent and include the following: The article is a good and excellent one that contains a relevant problem in Sb-Sahara Africa but the writing of the English Language needs to be improved to an excellent level of written language. Some of your sentences need to be supported by references. I have made supportive corrections to your write up which you must read and reflect upon and if you are happy with the suggestions you can include them. The conclusions need to be expanded and I have made suggestions for you. Your discussions could be expanded and I have made supportive additions for you. Your references must be written in a consistent form and I have made suggestions for you to incorporate.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors should be encouraged to revise the article and to have the article published quickly. If they incorporate my changes and suggestions regarding additions that I have suggested in red lighted areas, then the article can be published after the revision. Study the areas and suggestions highlighted in yellow.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo
Department, University & Country	North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom