



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_57490
Title of the Manuscript:	LOAD TESTS IN DIAGNOSTICS OF THE KIDNEY FORM OF PRIMARY HYPERPARATHYROIDISM IN CHILDREN
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abstract should be able to summarize the whole paper. Please give more background information about your paper in the abstract and not just jump directly to the methods without any introduction • From 2078 to 2020 → I believe this should be 2018? • Please specify more on materials and methods whether this is cross-sectional, randomized control trial, single- or double blinded and please walk us through the selection of patients amongst the 800 children. This is instrumental so we can replicate this if we want to do it as well as look at some potential confoundings from inclusion and exclusion criteria or the method itself. • Please specify the specific p value instead of just (p<0.02) etc. Unless it is (p<0.001) 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please explain a little bit more on how calcium and sodium are interconnected physiologically in this study (put it in the intro section) and studies related to them • Are the confounding factors such as basal vitamin D level, initial PTH levels, daily consumption of vit D and calcium as well as the exposure to sunlight quantified in this study? • Please define which machines are used for vit D and PTH and their normal levels, as well as their hypo- and hyper- values (numbers vary amongst institute and studies) • Please define the statistical analyses whether it is independent t test or other analyses that were used. • Please describe on how hypercalciuria is quantified (from the start of urine collection and up to the point where it is analyzed) • Hyperpalized → not sure on what this is supposed to mean • Strengths and weaknesses of this study? 	
Optional/General comments	I am not sure about how many words are limited in this short research article but I believe it shouldn't compromise the quality of this paper. Please do take a look at the above mentioned comments and consider them to suit the criterion for the short research article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gilbert Sterling Octavius
Department, University & Country	University Of Pelita Harapan, Indonesia