



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_56947
Title of the Manuscript:	Ocular Chemical Injury: Role of Amphoteric Agents as An Alternative Irrigating Solution
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Where is the annual incidence of chemical ocular injury of 65-66 cases/year? Is the annual incidence really that low? There are a few grammatical errors. They should be corrected.	
Optional/General comments	The author(s) have evaluated the effects of amphoteric agents as an irrigation solution in chemical ocular injuries. The manuscript was well-written and easy to understand.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ugur Acar
Department, University & Country	Selcuk University, Turkey