



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_58476
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Consumption of Garri Processed by Traditional and Instant Mechanical Methods on Lipid Profile of Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors strongly emphasized the effects of cyanide, but did not quantify the amount of cyanide. I recommend minimizing this issue, especially in the conclusions. In this context, it is difficult to ensure that the lipid or weight modification is due to cyanide action.</p> <p>The authors must be sure about the data distribution, because the validity of many statistical procedures relies on an assumption of approximate normality, which is the case for using the mean and standard error and also to using comparative test. Did the authors perform any tests to test the assumption of normality, such as Shapiro-Wilks or similar? Also could be important to know the exact p-value, instead the mention of minor of 0,05.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It is missing the explanation about the chemical characteristics of the food. Also, it is important to adequately describe the composition of the meal, what did the animals actually eat, indicating the food items.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript presented by the authors is an interesting study and it is good and suitable to be published, however it is necessary that the authors make the suggested changes.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alicia Norma Alayón
Department, University & Country	San Buenaventura University in Bogotá, Colombia