



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_57519
Title of the Manuscript:	LASSA FEVER IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Literature Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the abstract, give a brief description of Lassa Fever in Nigeria and the purpose of writing. Give a conclusion statement in the abstract. The number of keywords is too much but does not characterize the contents of the text. 2. The author must explain how the methods used to summarize the updated information about those used in this literature review, such as history, ways of pathogenesis, prevention, high risk groups, treatment and control methods. 3. In the method the author must mention where the references used are from? Examples of PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar databases. 4. Explain the reference search method used. Are there independent reviewers evaluated the level of data from selected literature? If there is disagreement, it can be resolved by joint discussion and consensus. 5. Ethical approval is needed for the literature used? 6. Explain when the reference date the author used in the systemic search used? 7. Make a flow chart of the criteria and selection guidelines for the literature included in this systemic review. 8. Forty One References and systematic writing of references in the literature review does not comply with the guidelines. 9. Use software in making references such as: mendeley, zotero, or others 	
Minor REVISION comments	The author must improve writing, especially on the parts: abstracts, methods and references used	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> There may be ethical problems if the writer does not correct the reference writing. The author also did not specify the full website address of the reference used	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nur Alvira Pascawati
Department, University & Country	Respati University of Yogyakarta, Indonesia