

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_73091
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Self-Learning module (SLM) on Knowledge regarding legal aspects of nursing care among nurses
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In Keywords: Knowledge is capitalized but the others are not.</p> <p>The period in the first sentence of the introduction is superscript. The period in the second sentence of the introduction is superscript.</p> <p>In the second paragraph - Nursing practice is controlled to guarantee that nursing standards and scope are maintained in order to establish safe practises<sup>3</sup> (I don't like the word is controlled, regulated would be a better choice.</p> <p>So, this study was done to assess the effect of self-learning module (SLM) on knowledge regarding legal aspects of nursing care among nurses working in primary health centres(PHC), so that the nurses can have better knowledge and decision making ability on patient care. (I would eliminate the first So, and just state This study was done . . . )</p> <p>Quantitaitve is capitalized under Material and Methods and shouldn't be.</p> <p>I would state specifically that IRB approval was obtained.</p> <p>Under results, should use % for all instead of alternating % and using the word percent. It also states 86% percent which is redundant.</p> <p>So, frequent need based training to be given to all the nurses to improve their knowledge, attitude and practice by which the quality of health care can be improved. (Eliminate the So, at the beginning of this sentence).</p> <p>In Table 2: The last line is misspelled. It says yearss instead of years.</p> <p>A study conducted in India, on the other hand, found a substantial link between knowledge level and factors (age, gender, professional qualification, and experience)<sup>9</sup> (There should be a period at the end of this sentence. Eliminate the words on the other hand. It's not professional.)</p> <p>Conclusion should be added to. Two sentences is not complete.</p> <p>Reference formatting is unclear but does not stay the same throughout. Should be consistent and match the format desired for the journal.</p> <p>In the reference #5, there should be space between communication. And SeminOncoINurs</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shauna Keil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Fort Hays State University, United States</b>