



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Botany</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_66398
Title of the Manuscript:	Species diversity in the family Sterculiaceae at Bangladesh Agricultural University Botanical Garden and its ethnobotanical uses
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Manuscript title</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The title must be revised because it does not reflect the content of the manuscript</li> </ul> <p><b>Summary</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Harmonize the objective of this study which is mentioned in the summary and that in the introduction</li> <li>- Specify in the summary the method used in this study</li> </ul> <p><b>Keywords</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- To be reformulated and completed: Sterculiaceae, specific diversity, valuation, BAUBG</li> </ul> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Harmonize the objective of this study which is mentioned in the introduction and that in the summary</li> </ul> <p><b>Materials and Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- For this subtitle, put instead: Material and Methods</li> </ul> <p><b>Results and discussion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There is no discussion section for this study</li> <li>- This is a study to take stock of the species of sterculiaceae present in the study area</li> <li>- The author has contented himself with only a literary journal search.</li> <li>- The author has not made any personal scientific contribution worth discussing.</li> <li>- This study deserves to be redone or needs to be completed.</li> <li>- The presented manuscript is very hollow and empty of data that could support the title of the study.</li> </ul> <p><b>Conclusion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The conclusion is well written but does not reflect the results data.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Koudegnan Comlan Mawussi</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>University of Lome, Togo</i></b>