



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_56489
Title of the Manuscript:	Pterocarpus indicus Willd : A lesser known tree species of medicinal importance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	CONCLUSION: Authors must write the conclusion of the work based on the results of the research.	
Minor REVISION comments	INTRODUCTION: The literature review of the manuscript is out of date. I suggest that the authors look for more recent articles on the proposed theme.	
Optional/General comments	General comments on the methodology, results and discussion are mentioned in the text of the article (attached document).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	José Jailson Lima Bezerra
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil