



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_53674
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of some Valencia cvs. performance under new reclaimed soil conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Cultivars names should be enclosed in quotation marks 'Campbell', 'Olinda' and 'Delta' not Campbell, Olinda and Delta. Please change it in whole manuscript text.</p> <p>In every tables standard deviation (SD) or standard error (SE) is missing. Please add it to average value.</p> <p>Table 2 If authors decided give full values, please do it in each tables, so values should be: 262 not 262.5 or 477 not 477.5. Please change it.</p> <p>Similar remark about SD or SE in present figures. Please add missing thing.</p> <p>References does not meet the requirements of the journal. Positions are given in various format standards. Please, unify all items.</p> <p>In my opinion, using the reference items from 1988–1999 is inappropriate (Not including methodological protocols). There is a lot of literature from 2015-2018 describing the experimental problem.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ewelina Hallmann</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland</i>