



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Botany</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_52684
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of excised-bud (EB) and half-corm (HC) at four physiological growth stages on plantlet regeneration of Musa genotypes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	As indicated in the review panel Abstract is usually one continuous paragraph with no subheadings All levels of significance to be written in full as 0.05.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	A few grammatical errors to be corrected to bring out the clear meaning	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Research is scientific and technical	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mary-Louise Mhazo
Department, University & Country	Eswatini