



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_52684
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of excised-bud (EB) and half-corm (HC) at four physiological growth stages on plantlet regeneration of Musa genotypes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. Few suggested additions could however be considered.	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No compulsory revision.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Line 11: Keywords: Musa genotype, macro-propagation, scarification, excised bud, half corm, planlet regeneration</p> <p>Line 62: Assess and ;</p> <p>Line 119: Could insert as follows - The values used in Figures 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 are means \pm SD. ;</p> <p>Line 256: Could put in italics as follows - <i>Curcuma longa</i> var. ;</p> <p>Line 300: New Delhi,</p>	
Optional/General comments	Good work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grace O. Tona
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria