



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_57450
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of polycystic ovary syndrome in women with acne vulgaris
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I review the manuscript " Prevalence of polycystic ovary syndrome in women with acne vulgaris". The information of the manuscript it is useful. The authors must review the grammar, there are many mistakes. The data about of marital status I think is of no importance for the manuscript. Some important mistakes; in page 5 says " Among the 51 people with PCOS group, 18 (35, 3%) were had androgenic alopecia". This sentence is not clear and there are drafting problems.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emilio Sudy
Department, University & Country	Chile