



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_67225
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Analysis of M-ary PSK and M-ary QAM Schemes
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is done according to the instructions given in the journal guidelines. Organization of paper with sections (1. Introduction, 2. Review of M-ary PSK and M-ary QAM Modulation Schemes, 2. SIMULATION SETUP, 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, 4. CONCLUSION, and REFERENCES) is different that recommended (Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Results and Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgements, Competing Interests, Authors' Contributions, Consent (where applicable), Ethical approval (where applicable), and References plus figures and/or tables), but it is adequate with. Except chapter 2, material is ordered in a way that is logical, clear, and easy to follow.</p> <p>Author cited sources adequately and appropriately, and all the citations in the text are listed in the References section. English language and style are fine, but I would recommend language editing.</p> <p>This paper presents a short research article which presents a study of digital modulation schemes using MATLAB/Simulink. The result is a developed algorithm to simulate M-ary QAM and M-ary PSK and compare their performances.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Almir Karabegovic
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina