



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_55777
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Development Trend of Traditional Financial Industry Based on Blockchain Technology
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. This paper discussed the impact of blockchain technology on the development trend of traditional financial industry. In Introduction, the paper proposed the blockchain technology and its characteristics. However, current situation and development trend of the traditional financial industry have not been described. I think these problems should also be the reason for the application of blockchain technology. Can you set the problem discussed in this paper in more clear, write one section to define the problem and put forward your innovation?	
Minor REVISION comments	2. The references cited in this paper are all from Chinese literature. Is the development of financial industry discussed in this paper limited to China? If not, it would be better to have more references from outside China. 3. In Section 2-6, the paper introduced the fields of blockchain application, I wonder whether the paper can enrich the content of figures, charts or numerical examples, so that readers can more clearly understand the significance and results of applications.	
Optional/General comments	4. Furthermore, the reference is not quoted in the paper, please confirm it again.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Jing Tu</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Hefei University, China</i>