



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_66052
Title of the Manuscript:	Short and Long-Term Exposure to Biomass Fuel (wood smoke) and Its Effects on Cardiovascular Risk Markers and Lipid Peroxidation of Male Albino Rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction, discussion and conclusion were written in good ways. But under materials and methods, the ethical consideration was not stated. Please recheck it! The results were not explained in sentences. Please, state the significant parameters under the results.	
Minor REVISION comments	When you want to write an abbreviation, write word/phrase then abbreviation and use it in the next sentences; don't use the word/phrase again in the next sentences. For example, cardiovascular disease (CVD) write CVD in next sentences instead of cardiovascular disease. This manuscript lacks english grammar, punctuation and spelling. Recheck all sentences in the manuscript . Follow the journal's reference style. Some of references were not written properly. For example, reference no. 2 needs modification. Remove the text highlight color under the compiting interest.	
Optional/General comments	Generally, this paper is good, has novelty and gives full information for the journal readers.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Not written under the materials and methods	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Getahun Chala Diribsa</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>College of Health and Medical Sciences, Haramaya University, Ethiopia</i>