

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_68862
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of different cryoprotocols and strains on the sperm viability of FUNAAB alpha chickens
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The aim of the study was to compare the sperm quality parameters of three FUNAAB Alpha chicken strains subjected to different cryoprotocols. The number of birds used in the experiment is sufficient, the test methods used are correct. The discussion is well carried out and exhausting. References well chosen. Before publishing in Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences, the paper requires additions and corrections. Corrections require the presentation of the article in accordance with the requirements for AJRAVS authors, including in the main text References as numbers instead of names, given in the order of the article first time, References list by number, not alphabetically. References in accordance with the requirements, "P" values in italic, etc. The list of remaining of proposed changes is given parts of Minor Revision</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Page 1: L16: "FF (frizzled feather)", "SF (slow freezing)" instead of FF, SF; L20 ($P > .05$) instead of ($p < 0.05$) Page 2 L28 "Labbe" instead of Labbe Page 3: In Experimental Birds and Management subchapter: Add information about the type of building (closed?), Type of floor, temperature, humidity, light program, calcium level in the feed, Page 4- 5 a space between the number and the unit of measure Page 6 L17 "Results" instead of Results and Discussion; L19 ($P > .05$) instead of ($p > 0.05$); L24 Insert Table 1 here; L26-28 please check the data; Page 7 L12 insert Table 2 here; L16 0.73 - 1.47 instead of 0.73 - 1.14; L20 FUNAAB instead of funaab Page 9; L4. L13. L15, 19, etc. and other page capital "P" in italic instead of lowercase "p" L23 + no description for washed NN for RF, unwashed RF for NF and NN against FF of this group Page 10 Deleting the text "The results for seminal Leucocytes ... do freezing cryoprotocol" repeating the description of the results Page 11 unchanged Page 12 and other must be "differences" instead of "variations" Page 13-14 and other "numbers" instead of "names" for References Page 15 L 3 (number of References instead of 1992) Page 16 "with normal feather and naked neck strains" instead of current form Page 17 L4 and L31: Poult Sci. Instead of "Poultry Science Page 18 L7 "Ital. J. Anim. Sci." instead of current form; L15 "S. J. Anim. Sci. " instead of current form; L20-21 "Open J. Anim. Sci." instead of current form; other "Int. J. Poult. Sci.", J. Reprod. Fertil. Page 19 Apply abbreviated journal name</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Currently, artificial insemination is widely used in turkey breeding in many countries of the world due to poor fertilization and a small number of eggs laid by this species of poultry. Insemination in chicken is limited to cage rearing. There is no need for artificial insemination when keeping deep litter hens. The conducted research provided valuable information for agricultural practice. The results of these studies should be published in the Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences after appropriate corrections have been made.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Kokoszyński Dariusz</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>UTP University of Science and Technology in Bydgoszcz, Poland</i>