



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_65706
Title of the Manuscript:	HyPIR electrolysis for a 0.25 M Epsom salt solution
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The authors should explain the reason why the hydrogen production decreases with increase in Epsom salt concentration.</p> <p>2. It is mentioned that widespread adoption of hydrogen as an energy carrier depends on our ability to supply hydrogen at a competitive price. In this regard, they could consider an article which mentions controlled hydrogen production during sodium metal dissolution in concentrated (2M) aqueous Epsom salt solution without electrolysis</p> <p>Please Refer Journal of Solid State Chemistry 177 (2004) 3460–3468</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arunachalam Lakshmanan
Department, University & Country	Saveetha Engineering College, India